☐ What was done to create a safety plan to allow the child to remain

in the home without court involvement?

MCR 3.974(B) SCAO Form JC 75

An emergency removal hearing is conducted when the agency requests that a child, over whom the court has retained jurisdiction but maintained in his or her home, be removed from the home. (For a removal request for a child <u>not</u> under court jurisdiction, see the Protective Custody bench card)

WHEN TO USE THIS BENCH CARD OUT OF HOME PLACEMENT STANDARDS MCL 712A.13a(9) Child is under court jurisdiction, child is placed at or returned home, The court may place a child into foster care if it finds and removal is requested. all of the following conditions: ☐ Substantial risk of harm to child's life, physical PROCEDURAL ISSUES health, or mental well-being. ☐ Must hold hearing within 24 hours of removing the child from ☐ No service or other arrangement is reasonably home. available to adequately safeguard the child from the substantial risk of harm. ☐ When a child is placed outside the home, notice must be provided to the parents as soon as the hearing is scheduled. ☐ Continuing child's residence in the home is ☐ Inquire if the child is an Indian child. See the ICWA/MIFPA contrary to child's welfare. bench card. ☐ Reasonable efforts were made to prevent/eliminate ☐ Allow parent(s) to make a statement as to why the child should need for removal. not be removed or should be returned home. ☐ Conditions away from the parent are adequate to safeguard the child's health and welfare. ☐ Was legally sufficient notice given to the parties? ☐ If a parent is in prison can he or she participate in person or via ☐ If the child is an Indian child, must find clear and convincing evidence, based on qualified expert telephone/video conference? witness testimony, that the child would be at risk of ☐ Ensure parents have received written statement of reasons for serious physical or emotional damage unless removal. removed. MCL 712B.15(2). ☐ Advise parties of right to: ■ Dispositional review hearing within 14 days. Counsel at dispositional review hearing. • Compel witnesses at the dispositional review hearing. CONTRARY TO THE WELFARE TO REMAIN IN THE HOME State law and federal funding regulations require this REASONABLE EFFORTS TO PREVENT REMOVAL finding to be made in the first court order authorizing removal. State law and federal funding regulations require this finding be made within 60 days of removing the child from home. Best practice ☐ Is it contrary to the child's welfare to remain in the promotes the court making this finding in the first court order authorizing removal of the child from the home. ☐ What specific conditions make the home an unsafe ☐ Has the agency provided reasonable efforts (or *active efforts* in place for the child, requiring removal? the case of an Indian child) to prevent the child's removal from ☐ Is the parent intellectually, emotionally, and the home? physically able to protect the child given the ☐ Were there any pre-hearing conferences or meetings that included threats? the family? ☐ What prevents the child from remaining/returning ☐ What services were considered and offered to allow the child to home today? remain at home? Were these services culturally appropriate? How ☐ Can the caregiver articulate a feasible and realistic are these services rationally related to the safety threat?

Continued on Back -

plan to protect the child?

child?

☐ Does the caregiver believe the child's report of

maltreatment and is he or she supportive of the

EMERGENCY REMOVAL (CONT.)

-CHILD UNDER COURT JURISDICTION POST-DISPOSITION-

MCR 3.974(B) SCAO Form JC 75

PLACEMENT AND PERMANENCY ISSUES
Is a petition to terminate parental rights required?
Have the non-custodial parent, putative father or other relatives (paternal and maternal) been identified and considered for placement? What efforts have been made to locate/engage them?
Does the child's placement allow the child to maintain school placement and other important family and social connections?
Is the child being placed in a safe home that can meet the child's basic, medical, and special needs?
If there is a putative father, has he been advised of his right to establish legal paternity?
Does the child have siblings who are already in foster care? If so, can the children be placed together? If the children cannot be placed together, is there a plan for sibling visitation?

PARENTING TIME

The court should order parenting time and sibling visitation in a frequency and duration appropriate to maintain the parent-child and/or sibling bond. Parenting time should not be used as a punishment for noncompliance with the case service plan.

	_		clearly , phone		the	order,	includin	g
 _								

- ☐ Parenting time should incorporate continued parental responsibilities such as attendance at appointments, school events, or extracurricular activities.
- \Box Is parenting time at a location other than the agency appropriate?
- ☐ If supervised parenting time is requested, what are the specific safety risks preventing unsupervised parenting time?



REQUIRED JUDICIAL FINDINGS / ORDERS

 □ Contrary to the child's welfare to remain in the home. List case specific facts to support finding. □ Reasonable efforts (or active efforts for an Indian child) to prevent removal were made. Describe the efforts. □ Indicate if reasonable efforts are not required (i.e., aggravated circumstances) MCL 722.638. □ Place the child with DHS for care and supervision. □ Schedule dispositional review within 14 days MCR 3.974(C). 	
 Indian child) to prevent removal were made. Describe the efforts. □ Indicate if reasonable efforts are not required (i.e., aggravated circumstances) MCL 722.638. □ Place the child with DHS for care and supervision. □ Schedule dispositional review within 14 days 	
 (i.e., aggravated circumstances) MCL 722.638. □ Place the child with DHS for care and supervision. □ Schedule dispositional review within 14 days 	Indian child) to prevent removal were made.
supervision. □ Schedule dispositional review within 14 days	